UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,024	07/14/2006	Matthew T. Pretz	62829A	1125
109 The Dow Chem	7590 05/22/200 nical Company	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop		NGUYEN, TAM M		
P.O. Box 1967 Midland, MI 48	3641-1967		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/586,02	24	PRETZ ET AL.				
		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		TAM M. N	GUYEN	1797				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the material part of the provided patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THE 1.136(a). In no evided will apply and wature, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	0 March 2009						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 March 2009</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٥/ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,10 and 13-17</u> is/are pending	in the applica	tion.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 10 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election r	equirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner						
•	-		☐ objected to by the I	Examiner.				
.0/	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.33(a).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

The rejection of claims 1-20 under 35 USC § 112 is withdrawn by the examiner in view of the amendment filed on March 20, 2009

The rejection of claims 1-16, 8-10 and 13-20 under 35 USC § 102(b) and under 35 USC 103(a) is withdrawn by the examiner in view of the amendment filed on March 20, 2009.

Since a new ground rejection follows, applicants' arguments will not be addressed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the hydrocarbons" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 10, 13, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 01/56960 in view of either Ruottu et al. (US 6,045,688) or Gartside et al. (US 5,254,788).

Since the WO 01/56960 reference is equivalent to Hamper et al. (US 7,002,052), the examiner will use the Hamper et al. (US 7,002,052) reference here for convenience.

Hamper discloses a process of producing a vinyl aromatic compound such as styrene by contacting a  $C_{2-5}$  alkyl-substituted aromatic compound (e.g., ethylbenzene) in a dehydrogenation catalyst in a reaction zone to produce a hydrocarbon product comprising a  $C_{2-5}$  alkenyl-substitute aromatic (e.g., styrene). The spending catalyst is then separated from the hydrocarbon product

Page 4

Art Unit: 1797

and passed into regeneration zone and combined with fresh catalyst and returned to the dehydrogenation reaction zone. Styrene is then recovered as a product. The dehydrogenation reaction zone is operated at a temperature of from 450° C to abut 650° C and at a pressure of from about 10 kPa to about 300 kPa. The dehydrogenation comprises an alkaline, gallium and platinum deposited on alumina. (See Figure 1, abstract; col. 3, line 25 though col. 4, line 42; col. 5, line 65 though col.8, line 14; col. 9, lines 35-52; col. 12, lines 3-5)

Hamper does not disclose the contact times of the catalyst in the dehydrogenation zone and in the separation zone.

Ruottu discloses a dehydrogenation reactor wherein the residence time in the dehydrogenation riser reactor is in the range of 0.4 to 0.5 seconds and gas residence time in the separator (e.g., cyclone) is about 1 to 2 seconds. This means that the total contact time of the catalyst in the reaction zone and in the separator would be about 1.4 to 2.5 seconds. Ruottu further teaches that the dehydrogenation riser is operated at a temperature of from 100 to 1300° C (See abstract; col. 3, line 15 through col. 4, line 13; col. 4 lines 45-50; col. 8, lines 7-9).

Gartside teaches a dehydrogenation process wherein the dehydrogenation reaction zone is operated at temperature of from 900 to 1600° F (482 to 871° C) and at a pressure of from 10 to about 100 psig. The residence time of the light paraffins in the reaction zone is from about 0.1 to about 2 seconds. Gartside further discloses that the catalyst spends about 0.1 to about 2 seconds from passage through the rectangular orifice to discharge from the separator outlet. This means that the total contact time of the catalyst in the reaction zone and separator is about 0.1 to about 2 seconds. The feed is introduced to the dehydrogenation reactor at multiple points of entry. (See

Art Unit: 1797

abstract; Figures 2-4; col. 4, lines 5-60; col. 7, lines 24-27; col. 10, lines 42-50; col. 12, lines 35-43; col. 12, line 54 through col. 13, line 3; col. 16, lines 45-56; Tables 2-4)

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the process of Hamper by utilizing the dehydrogenation reactor of either Ruottu or Gartside because such reactor has a high efficiency.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAM M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-1452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,024 Page 6

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tam M. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1797

TN

/Tam M. Nguyen/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797